

REMARKS

1. In the Action of 05/25/2010 the Abstract was objected to.
2. Claims 23, 39 and 41 were objected to.
3. Claim 24 was rejected under 35 USC 112.
4. Claims 22, 25, 26, 28-36, 38 and 40 were allowed.

RESPONSE TO ACTION

1. Applicant has revised the Abstract (pg. 2) as advised.
2. Claims 23, 39 and 41 have been amended (pgs. 12 & 14) as recommended.
3. Claim 24 has been amended (pg. 12) as agreed in consultation with examiner on phone call of 07/01/2010.
4. Applicant has made a number of corrections and added a paragraph to the Specification (pgs. 3 -11).

Conclusion

Applicant believes the Abstract, the claims objected to (23, 39 and 41) are now in compliance per examiner's recommendations and that claim 24 (rejected) is now in condition to meet 35 USC 112 as herein amended. Applicant thanks the examiner for his assistance and respectfully requests further consideration.

Respectfully submitted,


William E. James (Applicant) 7/20/10

864 400-8660

Enclosed: Amended Abstract

Amended Specification

Amended Claims

July 20, 2010